

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/661,095	Applicant(s) ROWE, RICHARD E.	
	Examiner ARTHUR O. HALL	Art Unit 3714	

All Participants:

(1) ARTHUR O. HALL

(2) Jeff Kuhn

Date of Interview: 29 April 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 12:00 pm

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
n/a

Claims discussed:
15, 26, 41

Prior art documents discussed:
Bergeron (US4,764,666)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Arthur O Hall/
Examiner, Art Unit 3714

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Applicants and Examiner discussed that even though Bergeron teaches that a smart card includes an I.D. for a specific player, a specific pattern for requesting plays of a game, and a number of tokens for game play, Bergeron does not teach that the number of tokens are to be used for a specific game nor that the specific pattern for playing a game requires the choice from multiple specific games in which the number of tokens can only be used in play of the specific game associated with the smart card.